

## Full Annual Education Report

# District-Level Student Assessment Data for Alpena-Montmorency-Alcona ESD, Hillman Community Schools

### Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Language Arts / Reading								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2008-09	100%	86.4%	95.6%	48.9%	46.7%	4.4%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	89.8%	100%	47.1%	52.9%	0%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	88.3%	100%	52.9%	47.1%	0%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	91.9%	100%	58.3%	41.7%	0%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	84.6%	92.9%	46.4%	46.4%	7.1%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	87.9%	100%	40.9%	59.1%	0%	0%
White	2008-09	100%	90%	95.3%	51.2%	44.2%	4.7%	0%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
White	2009-10	100%	92.7%	100%	47.1%	52.9%	0%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	85.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	71%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	79.1%	92%	44%	48%	8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	84.5%	100%	44%	56%	0%	0%
Grade: 04								
All Students	2008-09	100%	82.8%	82.1%	39.3%	42.9%	17.9%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	84.1%	93.5%	41.3%	52.2%	6.5%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	84.7%	86.7%	40%	46.7%	13.3%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.1%	94.7%	31.6%	63.2%	5.3%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	80.9%	76.9%	38.5%	38.5%	23.1%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	82.1%	92.6%	48.1%	44.4%	7.4%	0%
White	2008-09	100%	88%	82.1%	39.3%	42.9%	17.9%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	88.9%	93.5%	41.3%	52.2%	6.5%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	54.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	58%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	72.9%	76.2%	38.1%	38.1%	23.8%	0%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	75.7%	90.9%	39.4%	51.5%	9.1%	0%
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	100%	81.5%	86.1%	38.9%	47.2%	11.1%	2.8%
All Students	2009-10	100%	85.2%	89.7%	44.8%	44.8%	6.9%	3.4%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.4%	87.5%	31.3%	56.3%	6.3%	6.3%
Female	2009-10	100%	86.8%	92.9%	64.3%	28.6%	7.1%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	79.8%	85%	45%	40%	15%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	83.5%	86.7%	26.7%	60%	6.7%	6.7%
White	2008-09	100%	86.9%	88.2%	41.2%	47.1%	8.8%	2.9%
White	2009-10	100%	89.8%	89.7%	44.8%	44.8%	6.9%	3.4%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	82.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	49.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	57.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	70.5%	82.4%	35.3%	47.1%	17.6%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	76.6%	88%	40%	48%	8%	4%
Grade: 06								
All Students	2008-09	100%	80.5%	72.5%	32.5%	40%	27.5%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	87.7%	88.6%	37.1%	51.4%	11.4%	0%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Female	2008-09	100%	82.9%	84.2%	36.8%	47.4%	15.8%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	90%	100%	53.3%	46.7%	0%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	78.2%	61.9%	28.6%	33.3%	38.1%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	85.4%	80%	25%	55%	20%	0%
White	2008-09	100%	85.7%	72.5%	32.5%	40%	27.5%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	91.1%	88.6%	37.1%	51.4%	11.4%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	44.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	69.2%	63.2%	21.1%	42.1%	36.8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	81.1%	81%	28.6%	52.4%	19%	0%
Grade: 07								
All Students	2008-09	100%	79.6%	71.1%	18.4%	52.6%	26.3%	2.6%
All Students	2009-10	100%	82%	85.7%	26.2%	59.5%	7.1%	7.1%
Female	2008-09	100%	82.8%	64.7%	23.5%	41.2%	35.3%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	84.5%	76.2%	23.8%	52.4%	14.3%	9.5%
Male	2008-09	100%	76.5%	76.2%	14.3%	61.9%	19%	4.8%
Male	2009-10	100%	79.6%	95.2%	28.6%	66.7%	0%	4.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2008-09	<10	76.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	84.7%	70.3%	18.9%	51.4%	27%	2.7%
White	2009-10	100%	87.3%	85.7%	26.2%	59.5%	7.1%	7.1%
Students with	2008-09	<10	42.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Disabilities								
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	48%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	68.3%	72%	20%	52%	24%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	72.4%	82.8%	20.7%	62.1%	6.9%	10.3%
Grade: 08								
All Students	2008-09	100%	75.5%	86.8%	31.6%	55.3%	7.9%	5.3%
All Students	2009-10	100%	83.4%	78.8%	15.2%	63.6%	21.2%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	78.6%	81%	33.3%	47.6%	14.3%	4.8%
Female	2009-10	100%	87.5%	92.9%	14.3%	78.6%	7.1%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	72.6%	94.1%	29.4%	64.7%	0%	5.9%
Male	2009-10	100%	79.4%	68.4%	15.8%	52.6%	31.6%	0%
White	2008-09	100%	80.9%	86.1%	33.3%	52.8%	8.3%	5.6%
White	2009-10	100%	86.9%	78.8%	15.2%	63.6%	21.2%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	74.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	35.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	48.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	62.7%	87.5%	33.3%	54.2%	4.2%	8.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	75%	72.7%	13.6%	59.1%	27.3%	0%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Mathematics								
Grade: 03								
All Students	2008-09	100%	91.3%	100%	64.4%	35.6%	0%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	94.8%	100%	56.8%	43.2%	0%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	90.9%	100%	58.8%	41.2%	0%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	94.8%	100%	46.2%	53.8%	0%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	91.6%	100%	67.9%	32.1%	0%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	94.8%	100%	62.5%	37.5%	0%	0%
White	2008-09	100%	94.9%	100%	67.4%	32.6%	0%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	96.9%	100%	56.8%	43.2%	0%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	92%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	79.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	87.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	85.7%	100%	56%	44%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	91.9%	100%	50%	50%	0%	0%
Grade: 04								
All Students	2008-09	100%	87.9%	89.7%	44.8%	44.8%	10.3%	0%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
All Students	2009-10	100%	92.3%	95.7%	27.7%	68.1%	4.3%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	87.9%	93.3%	60%	33.3%	6.7%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	92.9%	100%	15.8%	84.2%	0%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	87.8%	85.7%	28.6%	57.1%	14.3%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	91.6%	92.9%	35.7%	57.1%	7.1%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2008-09	<10	87%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	92%	89.3%	46.4%	42.9%	10.7%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	95.1%	95.7%	27.7%	68.1%	4.3%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	68.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	80%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	80.4%	85.7%	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	87.8%	94.1%	29.4%	64.7%	5.9%	0%
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	100%	76.8%	66.7%	28.6%	38.1%	28.6%	4.8%
All Students	2009-10	100%	79.5%	80.6%	32.3%	48.4%	19.4%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	75.8%	61.1%	27.8%	33.3%	33.3%	5.6%
Female	2009-10	100%	79.6%	92.9%	50%	42.9%	7.1%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	77.8%	70.8%	29.2%	41.7%	25%	4.2%
Male	2009-10	100%	79.4%	70.6%	17.6%	52.9%	29.4%	0%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
White	2008-09	100%	83%	65%	30%	35%	30%	5%
White	2009-10	100%	84.3%	80.6%	32.3%	48.4%	19.4%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	76.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	46.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	52.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	64.4%	55.6%	16.7%	38.9%	38.9%	5.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	69.8%	80.8%	30.8%	50%	19.2%	0%
<b>Grade: 06</b>								
All Students	2008-09	100%	79.9%	90%	47.5%	42.5%	10%	0%
All Students	2009-10	100%	82%	82.9%	41.5%	41.5%	14.6%	2.4%
Female	2008-09	100%	80.9%	94.7%	47.4%	47.4%	5.3%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	83%	83.3%	44.4%	38.9%	16.7%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	78.9%	85.7%	47.6%	38.1%	14.3%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	81.1%	82.6%	39.1%	43.5%	13%	4.3%
White	2008-09	100%	85.2%	90%	47.5%	42.5%	10%	0%
White	2009-10	100%	87.5%	82.9%	41.5%	41.5%	14.6%	2.4%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	45.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	52.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	69%	84.2%	36.8%	47.4%	15.8%	0%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Disadvantaged								
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	72.5%	75%	29.2%	45.8%	20.8%	4.2%
Grade: 07								
All Students	2008-09	100%	82.6%	71.1%	31.6%	39.5%	26.3%	2.6%
All Students	2009-10	100%	82.2%	78%	46.3%	31.7%	22%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	84.2%	64.7%	29.4%	35.3%	29.4%	5.9%
Female	2009-10	100%	82.6%	81%	42.9%	38.1%	19%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	81.1%	76.2%	33.3%	42.9%	23.8%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	81.7%	75%	50%	25%	25%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2008-09	<10	79.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	100%	87.9%	70.3%	32.4%	37.8%	27%	2.7%
White	2009-10	100%	87.6%	78%	46.3%	31.7%	22%	0%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	45.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	51.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	71.9%	80%	36%	44%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	72.4%	71.4%	35.7%	35.7%	28.6%	0%
Grade: 08								

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
All Students	2008-09	100%	74.5%	55.6%	16.7%	38.9%	38.9%	5.6%
All Students	2009-10	100%	70.3%	57.6%	24.2%	33.3%	27.3%	15.2%
Female	2008-09	100%	74.3%	45%	15%	30%	45%	10%
Female	2009-10	100%	70.6%	57.1%	28.6%	28.6%	35.7%	7.1%
Male	2008-09	100%	74.8%	68.8%	18.8%	50%	31.3%	0%
Male	2009-10	100%	70%	57.9%	21.1%	36.8%	21.1%	21.1%
White	2008-09	100%	80.8%	55.9%	17.6%	38.2%	38.2%	5.9%
White	2009-10	100%	77.1%	57.6%	24.2%	33.3%	27.3%	15.2%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	70.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	38.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	31.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	61.6%	56.5%	17.4%	39.1%	39.1%	4.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	56.3%	59.1%	18.2%	40.9%	22.7%	18.2%
Science								
Grade: 05								
All Students	2008-09	100%	83.1%	88.1%	42.9%	45.2%	9.5%	2.4%
All Students	2009-10	100%	81%	90.3%	51.6%	38.7%	9.7%	0%
Female	2008-09	100%	83.3%	94.4%	33.3%	61.1%	5.6%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	81.1%	92.9%	57.1%	35.7%	7.1%	0%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Male	2008-09	100%	82.9%	83.3%	50%	33.3%	12.5%	4.2%
Male	2009-10	100%	80.8%	88.2%	47.1%	41.2%	11.8%	0%
White	2008-09	100%	89.4%	90%	45%	45%	7.5%	2.5%
White	2009-10	100%	87.5%	90.3%	51.6%	38.7%	9.7%	0%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	84.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	63.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	60.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	72.3%	94.4%	44.4%	50%	0%	5.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	70.6%	88.5%	50%	38.5%	11.5%	0%
Grade: 08								
All Students	2008-09	100%	76.3%	73.2%	22%	51.2%	22%	4.9%
All Students	2009-10	100%	75.9%	81.3%	34.4%	46.9%	15.6%	3.1%
Female	2008-09	100%	77.2%	77.3%	13.6%	63.6%	22.7%	0%
Female	2009-10	100%	77%	78.6%	35.7%	42.9%	21.4%	0%
Male	2008-09	100%	75.4%	68.4%	31.6%	36.8%	21.1%	10.5%
Male	2009-10	100%	74.9%	83.3%	33.3%	50%	11.1%	5.6%
White	2008-09	100%	83.5%	71.8%	23.1%	48.7%	23.1%	5.1%
White	2009-10	100%	83.1%	81.3%	34.4%	46.9%	15.6%	3.1%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	74.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	42.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	42.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	61.9%	73.1%	23.1%	50%	23.1%	3.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	63.1%	81%	28.6%	52.4%	14.3%	4.8%

### **Michigan Merit Examination (MME)**

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
English Language Arts / Reading								
Grade: 11								
All Students	2008-09	100%	59.9%	57.1%	0%	57.1%	25%	17.9%
All Students	2009-10	100%	65.2%	55%	2.5%	52.5%	32.5%	12.5%
Female	2008-09	100%	64.2%	42.9%	0%	42.9%	35.7%	21.4%
Female	2009-10	100%	68%	66.7%	4.8%	61.9%	28.6%	4.8%
Male	2008-09	100%	55.5%	71.4%	0%	71.4%	14.3%	14.3%

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Male	2009-10	100%	62.3%	42.1%	0%	42.1%	36.8%	21.1%
White	2008-09	100%	66.1%	57.1%	0%	57.1%	25%	17.9%
White	2009-10	100%	71.5%	55%	2.5%	52.5%	32.5%	12.5%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	23.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	23.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	42.4%	58.3%	0%	58.3%	16.7%	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	48.5%	47.8%	0%	47.8%	39.1%	13%
<b>Mathematics</b>								
<b>Grade: 11</b>								
All Students	2008-09	100%	49.3%	50%	0%	50%	14.3%	35.7%
All Students	2009-10	100%	50.4%	32.5%	2.5%	30%	20%	47.5%
Female	2008-09	100%	47.2%	35.7%	0%	35.7%	21.4%	42.9%
Female	2009-10	100%	48.3%	38.1%	0%	38.1%	19%	42.9%
Male	2008-09	100%	51.5%	64.3%	0%	64.3%	7.1%	28.6%
Male	2009-10	100%	52.5%	26.3%	5.3%	21.1%	21.1%	52.6%
White	2008-09	100%	56.5%	50%	0%	50%	14.3%	35.7%
White	2009-10	100%	57.9%	32.5%	2.5%	30%	20%	47.5%
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	<10	10.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Advanced (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Proficient (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Partially Proficient (Level 3)</b>	<b>% Not Proficient (Level 4)</b>
Disabilities								
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	<10	11.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2008-09	100%	28.9%	50%	0%	50%	16.7%	33.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	100%	30.4%	26.1%	4.3%	21.7%	30.4%	43.5%

## MI-Access

### Functional Independence

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
English Language Arts							
Grade: 03							

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
All Students	2008-09	<10	82.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	80.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	83.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	81.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	87.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	83.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04							
All Students	2008-09	<10	83.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	75.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	74.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	83.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	75.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
American Indian or Alaska Native	2008-09	<10	87.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	85.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	77.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05							
All Students	2008-09	<10	83.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	81%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	83.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	83%	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
Male	2009-10	<10	80.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	84.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	83.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 06							
All Students	2008-09	<10	87.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	88.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	90.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	91.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	86.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	86.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	89.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	90.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 07							
All Students	2008-09	<10	87.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	88.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	89%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	90.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	86.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	86.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	89.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	90.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
Grade: 08							
All Students	2008-09	<10	91.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	91.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	91.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	90.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	90.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	93%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	93.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 11							
All Students	2009-10	<10	89.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	89.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	93.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics							
Grade: 03							
All Students	2008-09	<10	80.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	81.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	83.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 04							

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
All Students	2008-09	<10	86.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	85%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	83.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	87.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	85.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	88.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	88.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 05							
All Students	2008-09	<10	74.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	71.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	72.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	75.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	74.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	78.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	74.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 06							
All Students	2008-09	<10	83.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	81.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	81.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	79.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	84.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	82.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
White	2008-09	<10	85.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	83.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 07							
All Students	2008-09	<10	72.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	70.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	69.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	68.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	74.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	72.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	76.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	74.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 08							
All Students	2008-09	<10	83.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	81.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	80.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	85.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	82.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	86.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	83.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 11							
All Students	2009-10	<10	71.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
Male	2009-10	<10	75.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	78.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science							
Grade: 05							
All Students	2008-09	<10	59.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	58%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2008-09	<10	57.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	60.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	61.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	65.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	63.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 08							
All Students	2009-10	<10	52.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	53.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	60.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 11							
All Students	2009-10	<10	70.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	73%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	78.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10

## Supported Independence

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
English Language Arts							
Grade: 03							
All Students	2009-10	<10	81.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	83.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	80.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics							
Grade: 03							
All Students	2009-10	<10	87.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	84.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	86.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10

## Participation

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Surpassed (Level 1)</b>	<b>% Attained (Level 2)</b>	<b>% Emerging (Level 3)</b>
No records to display.							

### MEAP-Access

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Level 1</b>	<b>% Level 2</b>	<b>% Level 3</b>
Reading							
Grade: 07							
All Students	2009-10	<10	52.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	49.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	55.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	<10	48.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 08							
All Students	2009-10	<10	58%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	65.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10

<b>Student Group</b>	<b>School Year</b>	<b>% Students Tested</b>	<b>State % Students Proficient</b>	<b>District % Students Proficient</b>	<b>% Level 1</b>	<b>% Level 2</b>	<b>% Level 3</b>
White	2009-10	<10	61.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics							
Grade: 07							
All Students	2009-10	<10	47.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	49.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	50.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged	2009-10	<10	46.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Grade: 08							
All Students	2009-10	<10	37.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	38.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	37.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10

**2009-10 District-Level Accountability (AYP) Detail Reporting  
for Alpena-Montmorency-Alcona ESD, Hillman Community  
Schools**

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	93.9%
Mathematics	98.9%	93.7%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	98.3%	87%
Mathematics	97.9%	88.8%
Black or African American		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	88.4%
Mathematics	97.4%	88%
American Indian or Alaska Native		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	93.2%
Mathematics	99%	92.4%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	96.8%
Mathematics	99.6%	97.5%
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3%	91.3%
Mathematics	98.9%	92.4%
White		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	95.3%
Mathematics	99.3%	95.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	98.3%	87%
Mathematics	97.9%	88.8%
Multiracial		
State		

<b>Subject</b>	<b>% Tested (Goal 95%)</b>	<b>% Proficient for AYP*</b>
English Language Arts / Reading	102.4%	93.5%
Mathematics	102.3%	94.3%
Limited English Proficient		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	123.6%	86.9%
Mathematics	126.3%	92.3%
Students with Disabilities		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	73.1%
Mathematics	102.2%	76.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	95.6%	35%
Mathematics	95.6%	50%
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	102.5%	91.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	100.5%	85.1%
Mathematics	100%	87.7%

Note: 467 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access.

\* [AYP Targets \(Annual Measurable Objectives\)](#)

<b>Graduation Rate (High Schools only)</b> <b>(Goal 80%)</b>	
All Students	
State	
	75.39%
District	
	87.8%
Black or African American	
State	
	56.59%
American Indian or Alaska Native	

**Graduation Rate (High Schools only)**  
**(Goal 80%)**

State

65%

Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander

State

84.47%

District

<10

Hispanic or Latino

State

59.94%

District

<10

White

**Graduation Rate (High Schools only)**  
**(Goal 80%)**

State

81.85%

District

87.18%

Multiracial

State

71.12%

Limited English Proficient

State

65.51%

Students with Disabilities

State

57.61%

**Graduation Rate (High Schools only)**  
**(Goal 80%)**

District

<10

Economically Disadvantaged

State

59.8%

District

87.5%

**Attendance Rate**  
**(Goal 90%)**

All Students

State

94.7%

District

96.2%

Black or African American

**Attendance Rate  
(Goal 90%)**

State

91%

American Indian or Alaska Native

State

93.7%

District

95.5%

Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander

State

96.5%

Hispanic or Latino

State

94.1%

**Attendance Rate  
(Goal 90%)**

District

85.7%

White

State

95.7%

District

96.2%

Multiracial

State

94.8%

District

94.5%

Limited English Proficient

<b>Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)</b>	
State	
	94.6%
Students with Disabilities	
State	
	93.5%
District	
	96%
Economically Disadvantaged	
State	
	94.8%
District	
	96.2%

\* All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

\*\* More information regarding AYP can be found at the following link:

[http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709\\_22875---.00.html](http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709_22875---.00.html)

# Michigan Annual AYP Objectives

## Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

## Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

## 2009-10 District-Level Accountability (AYP) Status Reporting for Alpena-Montmorency-Alcona ESD, Hillman Community Schools

### District AYP Status

AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	District Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
Met	Met	Met	N/A	0

## Schools in District AYP Status

School/ Building	Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
Hillman Community Jr/Sr High School	No	Met	Met	Met	B	N/A	0
Hillman Elementary School	Yes	Met	Met	Met	A	N/A	0

% of Schools making AYP: 100%

% of Schools in School Improvement status: 0%

% of Schools in Corrective Action status: 0%

% of Schools in Restructuring status: 0%

% of Title I Schools making AYP: 100%

% of Title I Schools in School Improvement status: 0%

% of Title I Schools in Corrective Action status: 0%

% of Title I Schools in Restructuring status: 0%

## December, 2009 District-Level Teacher Quality Reporting for Alpena-Montmorency-Alcona ESD, Hillman Community Schools

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional	0	23	9	0

	<b>Other</b>	<b>B.A.</b>	<b>M.A.</b>	<b>Ph.D</b>
Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the District				

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the District with Emergency Certification	3%		
	<b>District Aggregate</b>	<b>High-Poverty Schools</b>	<b>Low-Poverty Schools</b>
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%		

## Michigan Report Card for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

### NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

<b>Reporting Group</b>	<b>Percent of Students</b>	<b>Percent below Basic</b>	<b>Percent Basic</b>	<b>Percent Proficient</b>	<b>Percent Advanced</b>
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male	50	22	41	30	7
Female	50	22	45	29	4
National Lunch Program Eligibility	43	36	47	16	1
Eligible	56	11	40	40	9

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Not Eligible	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Info not available	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	14	43	37	6
Black	20	52	39	9	0
Hispanic	5	29	51	19	1
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	13	32	36	19
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	12	42	39	17	2
Not SD	88	19	44	31	6
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	3	48	40	11	1
Not ELL	97	21	43	31	5

‡ Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

## NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Mathematics Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	37	24	7
Male	51	31	37	24	8
Female	49	33	38	24	5
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	38	50	37	12	1
	62	21	38	31	10

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Not Eligible	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Info not available	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	23	40	29	8
Black	18	68	27	4	1
Hispanic	4	38	45	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	11	30	31	28
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	10	75	22	2	1
Not SD	90	27	39	27	7
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	2	58	32	10	0
Not ELL	98	32	37	24	7

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

## NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	34	23	6
Male	50	39	35	21	5
Female	50	32	34	26	8
National Lunch Program Eligibility					
Eligible	43	52	33	13	2
	57	24	36	31	10

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Not Eligible Info not available	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	71	28	36	28	8
Black	19	65	26	7	1
Hispanic	5	49	34	15	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	21	37	25	17
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	10	66	24	8	3
Not SD	90	32	36	25	7
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	3	65	26	9	1
Not ELL	97	35	35	24	7

# Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

## NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Reading Results

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	28	41	28	3
Male	51	33	42	23	2
Female	49	23	41	32	4
National Lunch Program Eligibility	37	44	41	14	1

Reporting Group	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Eligible	62	18	42	36	4
Not Eligible	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Info not available	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Race Ethnicity					
White	74	21	32	32	3
Black	18	54	37	9	#
Hispanic	4	40	34	24	2
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	2	‡	‡	‡	‡
American Indian	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Unclassified	1	‡	‡	‡	‡
Student classified as having a disability					
SD	9	73	22	4	#
Not SD	91	23	43	30	3
Student is an English Language Learner					
ELL	2	60	33	8	#
Not ELL	98	27	42	28	3

# Rounds to zero

‡ Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for IEP Students	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math	82.08	2.771	81.98	2.786	91.89	3.063

<b>Grade</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities</b>	<b>Standard Error</b>	<b>Participation Rate for IEP Students</b>	<b>Standard Error</b>	<b>Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students</b>	<b>Standard Error</b>
	Reading	72.05	2.592	72.01	2.63	81.16	3.53
8	Math	76.39	2.561	76.21	2.578	93.13	4.12
	Reading	70.72	3.239	70.46	3.298	85.15	4.505